## Galaxy evolution in z=1 groups The Gemini GEEC2 survey

Michael Balogh Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Waterloo

## Background

- At z=0, SDSS data have shown galaxies form two sequences
  - > SF main sequence
  - Passive population with negligible SF

Peng et al. (2010)



## Role of environment

- At z=0, main influence is onthe fraction of SF galaxies
- Within the SF population, trends with environment are weak at best





# Theory

- Halo model: galaxy evolution proceeds differently for satellite galaxies
- Various gas removal processes are most likely explanation, but so far there has been no successful implementation in models.

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Theory: the satellite problem

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The simplest models greatly overpredict the number of passive satellites
- Modifications generally predict severe distortions to the SFR distribution that are not observed

#### Weinmann et al. (2010)

## Theory

- Implies transformation must be quick, but not affect all galaxies
- Parameters constrained by z=0 data. In principle predictive of higher redshift.

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

Wetzel et al. (2012)

#### Accretion rates

• Formation history is necessarily compressed at higher redshift

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

If quenching timescale is long, redshift evolution will be stronger

•

From Sean McGee

# Why z=1 groups?

- Higher infall rates
- Galaxies are more gas rich with higher SFR
- Less pre-processing
- Greater diversity in accretion histories
- Overall younger ages

## GEEC2

Angus Mok<sup>1</sup>, Michael L. Balogh<sup>1</sup>, Sean L. McGee<sup>2</sup>, David J. Wilman<sup>3</sup>, Alexis Finoguenov<sup>3,4</sup>, Masayuki Tanaka<sup>5</sup>, Stefania Giodini<sup>6</sup>, Richard G. Bower<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer L. Connelly<sup>3</sup>, Annie Hou<sup>7</sup>, John S. Mulchaey<sup>8</sup>, Laura C. Parker<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham, UK, DH1 3LE
 <sup>3</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 85748 Garching Germany
 <sup>4</sup>CSST, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA
 <sup>5</sup>Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 2778582, Japan
 <sup>6</sup>Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands
 <sup>7</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4M1 Canada
 <sup>8</sup>Observatories of the Carnegie Institution, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, California, USA

- 20 X-ray confirmed groups at 0.8<z<1 selected from COSMOS
  - Dynamical masses: 3x10<sup>13</sup> - 3x10<sup>14</sup>

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **GEEC2** survey

- 11 followed up in 10A and 11A
  - ➢ R<23.75 nod-shuffle spectroscopy with GMOS-S</p>
  - High (80%) completeness. Good photo-z makes selection efficient.

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Measure redshifts, [OII], Hdelta spectral features for 600 galaxies.
- 150 group members (72.5h GMOS time)

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

## Low sSFR galaxies

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

- These lie well off the SF "main sequence" but are not passive
- See also Whitaker et al. (2012), Grützbauch et al. (2011), Vulcani et al. (2010)

### Stacked spectra • Gr ha

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Green galaxies have weak SF, weak Balmer lines
- Generally each category of galaxy shows similar properties in group and field environments

#### **Population fractions**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fraction of SF galaxies is significantly lower in groups, for  $M_{star} < 10^{11}$ Note Peng model predicts no significant environmental dependence, and few SF galaxies even in the field.

Mok et al. (in prep)

- "Transition" galaxies represent about 20% of the SF population
  - This is also independent of environment
  - Group population does have lower sSFR

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Quenching efficiency

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Fraction of active"centrals" that arequenched by thegroup:
  - About 40% independent of stellar mass and redshift
  - Recall that most z=1 group members have only been satellites for about 2 Gyr

Mok et al. (in prep)

### Dependence on halo mass

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- One of the goals of GEEC2 was to look for variations amongst groups
- Possible trend with group mass (see also Giodini et al. 2012)

Mok et al. (in prep)

#### Conclusions

- Galaxy groups at z=1 show lower fractions of SF galaxies than the field.
  - If SF fractions are as low as found by GEEC, it implies any delay time cannot be too long
- Little or no distortion of the SF main sequence or "green" transition population
  > Implies quenching must be rapid.
- Work in progress to find models that satisfy these constraints